## 1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires each district and charter school to complete and submit a justification when it anticipates exceeding 1.0 percent of students assessed in a subject area (i.e., English Language Arts/Reading, Mathematics, and/or Science) with the NCEXTEND1 alternate assessment. Justifications from each district and charter school will be reviewed by the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction (NCDPI), and follow up actions will be determined based on the information found in the justification document. Staff from the Exceptional Children and Accountability Divisions in each district and charter school should collaborate to provide the following information on the justification document. Responses to Sections 1–4 and the designated signatures are required; it is optional to include additional information (see page 5). This justification document will be publicly posted. As such, the document must not contain any personally identifiable information. If necessary, additional pages may be attached to this form.

### **Section 1: Contact Information**

Enter contact information for the primary district/charter school staff member responsible for overseeing the completion of the justification form.

3-Digit LEA/Charter Code: 995	
Contact Name: Margie Stebbeds	
Contact Phone No.: 828-682-6101 - 120	
District/Charter Name: Yancey County Schools	
Contact Title: EC Director	
Contact E-Mail: mjstebbeds@yanceync.net	

### Section 2: Analyzing Contributing Factors

Did the Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams utilize the alternate assessment eligibility criteria and
the North Carolina Alternate Assessment Decision Making Flow Chart to make alternate assessment
participation decisions?

⊠ Yes □ No

Indicate how all members of the IEP teams have been informed or trained on the alternate assessment eligibility criteria and the North Carolina Alternate Assessment Decision Making Flow Chart. Check all that apply.

Training Method	School Administration	Special Education Staff	Parents	Related Service Staff
Face-to-face training	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$
Online training				
Given copy of guidance documents	×	×		
No training provided				
Other, please explain below			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<sup>3</sup> 🗆

# 1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

Other, please explain below:			
Click or tap here to enter text.			
444444			
Does the district or charter school ide	ntify students to particin	ate in the alternate assessment that do not	
	* * *	nt, Specific Learning Disability, etc.)? If yes,	
		the criteria for participation in the alternate	
assessment.	. 77		
Explain below:	Yes	⊠ No	
Explain below.			
Click or tap here to enter text.			
		that may contribute to a higher enrollment of	
students with significant cognitive dis	aomues?		
	Yes	⊠ No	
Explain below:			
Click or tap here to enter text.			
	11 11 1	1.6-4.1	
exceeding the 1.0 percent threshold?	e a small overall student	population that increased the likelihood of	
exceeding the 1.0 percent threshold.			
	Yes	□ No	
Explain below:			
Our total student population is 2 100 v	while the state average s	student population for a school district is	
6,223.	Time the state average t		
Section 3: Assurances			
Does the district or charter school hav	e a process in place to m	onitor alternate assessment participation?	
	Yes	□ No	
Explain below:			
		pleased in the Fritand 4 Ormales have	
Students that participate in the alterna		placed in the Extend 1 Curriculum at provides Extend 1 Curriculum must meet	
NCDPI/Division of Accountability Services	2	January 2	2019

## 1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

the placement eligibility criteria. The LEA reviews the completed assessments from the previous year to determine if this is still an appropriate assessment going forward.

	그리고 하는 것이 되었다. 하는 국가의 다른 하나 있는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는데	entify and address disproportionality in
alternate assessment participation	(specifically, among race, ge	ender, or socioeconomic status groups)?
	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Explain below:		
We do not have a true disproporti	onality because while most o	of our students taking the Extend 1 are white,
that is because most of our entire	school population is also wh	ite. Additionally, many students in EC are
from a low socioeconomic group b	pecause our school population	on is over 50% (52.4% to be exact) free and
reduced lunch. The numbers of s	tudents taking the Extend 1	reflect the proportions of our population.

### Section 4: Resources and Technical Assistance

What resources and technical assistance does the district or charter school need from the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction to ensure that students are being assessed using the appropriate assessment?

I don't believe we need any resources or technical assitance. We are continuing to monitor what students meet the requirements for alternative testing to ensure that it is appropriate to assess these students in this manner.

#### **Signatures**

Superintendent/Charter School Director

Exceptional Children Director/Coordinator

LEA/Charter School Test Coordinator

The superintendent/Charter School Director

The superintendent/Charter School

The completed justification form must be signed by the superintendent/charter school director, exceptional children's director/coordinator, and LEA/charter school testing coordinator. The form must be scanned and emailed to <a href="mailto:alternateassessment@dpi.nc.gov">alternateassessment@dpi.nc.gov</a> by May 3, 2019.

The NCDPI will notify districts/charter schools in writing if further information is needed and will include next steps. For questions, please contact your Exceptional Children Director or Regional Accountability Coordinator.

Note: See page 5 for additional information that can be included but is not required.

The following additional information can be included with the justification documentation, but is not required:

- Evidence that all educators who administer the alternate assessment meet the requirements for test
  administrators and have received test administration training prior to administering the alternate
  assessment.
- Evidence that all students have appropriate access to accessibility features on statewide tests.
- A review of the percentage of students taking the alternate assessment at grade 3 versus grades 4–7 versus grade 8 versus high school and an explanation of how Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams are making consistent participation decisions across grade levels.
- A review of data to determine if students are moving from the alternate assessment to the general assessment or vice versa and an explanation for grade levels where this action is more prevalent.
- Evidence that the district is providing appropriate supports and services to students with disabilities to
  assist in meeting the same graduation requirements as their non-disabled peers.
- An evaluation of students instructed using the Extended Content Standards, but who are spending more than eighty percent (80%) of their day in the general education setting.
- Evidence of data-driven team decisions to determine appropriate instruction and assessment.
- An assessment of varying practices across a district and/or between different schools.
- An explanation of special programs or populations that are served by the district/charter school that may contribute to the alternate assessment participation rate.